

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/040,621	LIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Yubin Hung.	2625	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Yubin Hung.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Natalya Dvorson.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 January 2006
**Time:** 15:20
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16, 17, 19, 25, 33

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*Typographical errors in the claims as well as proposed change to claim 33 to overcome 35 USC 112 issues were discussed.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 BHAVESH M. MEHTA  
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER  
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2000

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)